Deprivation Dimensions, 2011 Census of Population

This short article contains some of the deprivation dimensions results from the 2011 Census of Population. The figures have been downloaded from the <u>Office for National Statistics</u> website and complement a range of other census data sets that have been added to our website.

The dimensions of deprivation used to classify households are indicators based on the four selected household characteristics:

- 1. **Employment:** any member of a household not a full-time student is either unemployed or long-term sick.
- 2. **Education:** no person in the household has at least level 2 education, and no person aged 16-18 is a full-time student.
- 3. **Health and disability:** any person in the household has general health 'bad or very bad' or has a long term health problem.
- 4. **Housing:** Household's accommodation is ether overcrowded, with an occupancy rating -1 or less, or is in a shared dwelling, or has no central heating.

A household is classified as being deprived in none or one to four of these dimensions, and is only classified to one of the five options. The definition of a household is one person living alone, or a group of people (not necessarily related) living at the same address who share cooking facilities and share a living room or sitting room or dining area. It must contain someone whose place of usual residence is at the address. A group of short-term residents/visitors living together is not classified as a household.

The Lancashire Results

On the day of the census, March 27th 2011, out of a total of 618,019 households in the Lancashire-14 area, 254,352 (41.2%) were not classified to any of the four deprivation categories. For the Lancashire-12 area, the higher percentage of 43.0% was just ahead of the England and Wales rate of 42.3%.

Chorley, Ribble Valley and South Ribble were the three Lancashire authorities with over 48% of households in the not deprived category. In contrast, only 31.9% of households in Blackpool were in this category.

	All	Not deprived	Deprived in one dimension	Deprived in two dimensions	Deprived in three dimensions	Deprived in four dimensions
Burnley	37,550	13,608	11,758	8,822	3,059	303
Chorley	44,919	21,585	13,580	7,697	1,906	151
Fylde	34,885	15,920	11,415	6,108	1,310	132
Hyndburn	34,341	13,025	10,847	7,783	2,461	225
Lancaster	57,822	25,414	18,390	10,773	2,936	309
Pendle	37,348	13,928	12,021	8,420	2,751	228
Preston	57,567	23,532	18,317	11,418	3,867	433
Ribble Valley	24,045	12,813	7,226	3,338	615	53
Rossendale	29,058	12,641	8,871	5,727	1,676	143
South Ribble	46,102	22,397	14,361	7,638	1,578	128

Table 1, Number of Households by Deprivation Dimension

West Lancashire	45,381	19,575	14,774	8,900	1,995	137
Lancashine	40,001	19,575	14,774	0,900	1,995	137
Wyre	47,281	19,007	15,769	10,204	2,121	180
Lancashire- 12	496,299	213,445	157,329	96,828	26,275	2,422
Blackburn with Darwen	57,353	20,599	18,421	13,105	4,779	449
Blackpool	64,367	20,308	20,700	16,465	5,985	909
Lancashire- 14	618,019	254,352	196,450	126,398	37,039	3,780
North West	3,009,549	1,218,768	953,693	626,702	192,951	17,435
England and Wales	23,366,044	9,893,773	7,620,164	4,512,853	1,217,061	122,193

Source: 2011 Census of Population

*Rank out of 348 authorities in England and Wales where '1' has the highest rate of economic activity.

The Lancashire area had a smaller percentage of households deprived in one domain than the national average of 32.6%, but for Lancashire-14, the percentages for two to four domains were higher than the England and Wales results. The percentages of households that were deprived in three or four domains were relatively small at the national level, but excessive rates were recorded in Burnley, Hyndburn, Pendle, Blackburn with Darwen and Blackpool. The latter was the only Lancashire authority were over 10% of households were deprived in either three or four domains.

		Deprived in			
	Not	in one	two	three	four
	deprived	dimension	dimensions	dimensions	dimensions
Burnley	36.2	31.3	23.5	8.1	0.8
Chorley	48.1	30.2	17.1	4.2	0.3
Fylde	45.6	32.7	17.5	3.8	0.4
Hyndburn	37.9	31.6	22.7	7.2	0.7
Lancaster	44.0	31.8	18.6	5.1	0.5
Pendle	37.3	32.2	22.5	7.4	0.6
Preston	40.9	31.8	19.8	6.7	0.8
Ribble Valley	53.3	30.1	13.9	2.6	0.2
Rossendale	43.5	30.5	19.7	5.8	0.5
South Ribble	48.6	31.2	16.6	3.4	0.3
West Lancashire	43.1	32.6	19.6	4.4	0.3
Wyre	40.2	33.4	21.6	4.5	0.4
Lancashire- 12	43.0	31.7	19.5	5.3	0.5
Blackburn with Darwen	35.9	32.1	22.8	8.3	0.8
Blackpool	31.6	32.2	25.6	9.3	1.4
Lancashire- 14	41.2	31.8	20.5	6.0	0.6
North West	40.5	31.7	20.8	6.4	0.6
England and Wales Source: 2011	42.3	32.6	19.3	5.2	0.5

Table 2, Percentage of Households by Deprivation Dimension

Source: 2011 Census of Population

Table 3 highlights the ranking positions of the Lancashire local authorities in comparison to 348 authorities across England and Wales. The most advantageous set of results for each authority is to achieve a low a rank in the not deprived category, and high ranks in the four deprived categories.

As can be seen from table 1 and 2, the numbers and percentages decline as more dimensions are added. This means that the range between the authorities decreases, so small variations have greater impacts. The ranks for the fourth domain in particular were based on a very small range where a 0.1% variation in the percentage can dramatically change an authority's rank.

Out of 348 authorities, Burnley, Blackburn with Darwen, and Blackpool were ranked in the lowest 300 for the percentage in each authority classified as not deprived. In contrast, Chorley, Ribble Valley and South Ribble were in the top 100.

The ranking order changes when authorities are measured against one of the deprivation categories: the lower the rank, the worse the position. Burnley, Blackburn with Darwen and Blackpool wee in the lowest 50 when ranked by two dimensions or more. Ribble Valley was the only Lancashire authority achieving ranks of over 300 for three of the four deprivation dimensions.

		Deprived in one	Deprived in two	Deprived in three	Deprived in four	
	Not deprived	dimension	dimensions	dimensions	dimensions	
	Lower the rank: fewer households deprived	Larger the number: more households deprived				
Burnley	307	271	36	19	32	
Chorley	89	329	223	184	209	
Fylde	135	151	210	215	184	
Hyndburn	281	249	54	43	58	
Lancaster	160	234	175	136	98	
Pendle	295	195	56	34	69	
Preston	215	233	136	58	41	
Ribble Valley	28	333	312	312	295	
Rossendale	169	315	143	98	116	
South Ribble	80	285	240	236	246	
West Lancashire	178	159	147	175	231	
Wyre	230	113	76	165	183	
Blackburn with Darwen	313	208	49	15	36	
Blackpool	340	203	15	7	5	

Table 3, Rank of Households by Deprivation Dimension (1 to 348)

Source: 2011 Census of Population

*Rank out of 348 authorities in England and Wales

The Lancashire Results at the Ward Level

The figures have been released down to the ward level and reveal four areas of central Blackpool: Talbot, Bloomfield, Claremont and Waterloo, each with over 100 households that are deprived in all four dimensions. In contrast, there were 33 Lancashire wards with no households deprived in four dimensions. Every ward had at least one household deprived in three dimensions or less.

North Turton with Tockholes to the south of Darwen (63.0%), and Pennine in Chorley district (62.6%) had the highest percentages of households that were not deprived in any dimension. In contrast, Bloomfield in Blackpool (17.4%), Audley in Blackburn (17.1%) and Daneshouse with Stoneyholme in Burnley (16.5%) recorded the lowest percentages of households in this category.

The ward level interactive maps are no longer available.

Last updated February 2013