Joint strategic needs assessment (JSNA) leadership group Minutes of meeting 3pm 8 August 2016, County Hall

Present	
Sakthi Karunanithi (chair)	Director of public health and wellbeing, LCC
Mike Kirby (deputy chair)	Director of corporate commissioning, LCC
Angela Allen (deputising for Sarah Swindley)	CEO, Families Health and Well Being Consortium
Steve Freeman (deputising for Angela Harrison)	Chief finance officer, office of the police and crime commissioner
Gemma Jones	JSNA manager, LCC
CC Tony Martin	Cabinet member for adult and community services, LCC
Tony Morrissey (deputising for Linda Clegg)	Deputy director of children's services, LCC
Tony Pounder	Director of adult services, LCC
Christina Shorrock (deputising for ACC Mark Bates)	Early action, Lancashire Constabulary
Donna Gadsby (minute taking)	JSNA research officer, LCC
Apologies	
Mark Bates	Assistant chief constable, Lancashire Constabulary
Linda Clegg	Interim director of children's services, LCC
Gary Hall	Chief executive, Chorley Borough Council
Angela Harrison	CEO, OPCC
Sarah Swindley	CEO, Lancashire Women's Centre
Mike Walker	Information, intelligence, quality and performance manager, LCC

1	Welcome, introduction and apologies	Action
	relcomed everyone to the meeting, the group introduced selves to the other members and apologies were noted as e.	No action
2	The Lancashire JSNA	Action
GJ presented a brief overview of the JSNA in Lancashire: what it is, the process that it follows and the current position regarding governance. GJ also advised the leadership group would be responsible for a two-way relationship with the health and wellbeing board (HWB) in respect to governance, identifying work streams and other requirements. SK confirmed the statutory requirement of the JSNA.		
whet that conte	sked how people use the JSNA for commissioning. MK queried her it was a cycle of data, linked to outcomes. GJ confirmed use of the JSNA was monitored to see who accessed the ent and it wasn't just one static document, but an ongoing less that fits into the commissioning cycle.	
and (dded that it should be bedded into the commissioning process used for horizon scanning, rather than stakeholders sitting on vailable intelligence and not putting it into practise.	
make and i	asked whether the JSNA findings are implemented and do they a difference. GJ advised that stakeholders are using the data ntelligence in many projects, which should have quantifiable omes, while SK added that the impact(s) should be measurable.	
apply	suggested that meeting the needs of the population and ving resources should be based on JSNA evidence rather than dotal evidence.	
processore strengthe e	oted connecting the JSNA to public services could ensure it is; even with the health and wellbeing board behind the JSNA ess, it doesn't mean it is used. SK suggested that different ds have different perspectives, and this could be a way to gthen the JSNA by getting them on board. GJ confirmed that at arly stage of a thematic JSNA the different partners and esentatives are invited to be involved.	
	ommented that there is sometimes a gap between a JSNA and is actually commissioned, as it can be difficult to influence the	

resea	nissioning process. SK and TP agreed links between the JSNA arch and commissioning need to be established, citing the use s data.	
SK suggested that the appointment of a clinical commissioning group representative to the group, could help address some of the issues raised.		GJ to recruit a CCG member
3	Appointment of chair and deputy chair	Action
SK outlined the future chairing responsibilities and arrangements for the JSNA leadership group. SK was proposed and accepted as chair; MK was proposed and accepted as deputy chair.		No action
4	Revision of the terms of reference	Action
chan	utlined the terms of reference and advised any revisions or ges would need to be resubmitted to the health and wellbeing (HWB) for approval. SK invited comments in response.	GJ to amend ToR and resubmit to HWB.
repre time.	formed the group that there were potential third sector sentative changes and this would become more apparent in For now, 'Third Sector Lancashire' representative is to be ged to simply 'third sector representative'.	TIVV D.
the le	advised that any elected member could be a representative on eadership group, not necessarily the cabinet member for adult community services. MK noted he was not on the membership his was an administrative error and MK was confirmed as a per.	
	entioned that alongside CCG representation there should be a nd rescue member on the leadership group.	GJ to recruit CGG and fire & rescue
repre refere conta agree	e was a discussion on a housing/registered social landlord sentation, but it was felt this may conflict with the terms of ence. GJ confirmed that there are housing/RSL on the JSNA act database, who have been involved in past projects. It was ed that their involvement on a topic specific basis was opriate.	members
Healt origin	oted that Blackburn with Darwen have representation from hwatch (HW) and Blackburn College, SK clarified that the al JSNA leadership group membership was chosen by the and did not include educational establishments. Furthermore,	GJ to recruit a Healthwatch member.

there would be considerable complications in choosing a representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was unanimous support for Healthwatch involvement. No further comments or changes were identified or suggested. 5 JSNA annual report and forward plan GJ gave a brief overview of the JSNA progress over the previous 12 months, covering the thematic JSNAs, data/support requests, training and briefings, the annual showcase, and the migration to the new website Lancashire Insight (LI). GJ asked members whether the information is useful in the current format. It was accepted the report provided context, but no suggestions were made for changing the format. 6 2016/17 work programme GJ outlined the two thematic JSNA projects, suggested by the JSNA stakeholders, which have been approved by the HWB for the 2016/17 work programme: the working-age population JSNA and the neighbourhoods intelligence project. Both link into the six shifts JSNA, living well and other areas. The leadership group discussed the content of the two thematic JSNAs and made suggestions for content, which were duly noted. GJ asked for project sponsors for the thematic JSNAs. MK agreed to be the project sponsor for the neighbourhoods intelligence project. There was a discussion around the working-age JSNA, SF suggested the sponsor should be someone to whom it would be meaningful and TP suggested tying it to a role (potentially outside the leadership group). JSNA team to brief MK on the role and provide project outline. AA advised that she would not be able to commit to project sponsor for the working-age JSNA, as she was attending the leadership group in a deputy capacity, but would discuss it with Sarah Swindley as she may be more suited to the role. GJ agreed to follow this up with Sarah. SK noted that the two thematic JSNAs would need to be linked to other projects and activities.	7	Linking JSNA to the Lancashire South Cumbria change programme and the public services reform of the CA	Action
representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was unanimous support for Healthwatch involvement. No further comments or changes were identified or suggested. 5 JSNA annual report and forward plan GJ gave a brief overview of the JSNA progress over the previous 12 months, covering the thematic JSNAs, data/support requests, training and briefings, the annual showcase, and the migration to the new website Lancashire Insight (LI). GJ asked members whether the information is useful in the current format. It was accepted the report provided context, but no suggestions were made for changing the format. 6 2016/17 work programme GJ outlined the two thematic JSNA projects, suggested by the JSNA stakeholders, which have been approved by the HWB for the 2016/17 work programme: the working-age population JSNA and the neighbourhoods intelligence project. Both link into the six shifts JSNA, living well and other areas. The leadership group discussed the content of the two thematic JSNAs and made suggestions for content, which were duly noted. GJ asked for project sponsors for the thematic JSNAs. MK agreed to be the project sponsor for the neighbourhoods intelligence project. There was a discussion around the working-age JSNA, SF suggested the sponsor should be someone to whom it would be maningful and TP suggested tying it to a role (potentially outside the leadership group). JSNA team to brief MK on the role and provide project outline. AA advised that she would not be able to commit to project sponsor for the working-age JSNA, as she was attending the leadership group in a deputy capacity, but would discuss it with Sarah Swindley as she may be more suited to the role. GJ agreed to follow this up			
representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was unanimous support for Healthwatch involvement. No further comments or changes were identified or suggested. 5 JSNA annual report and forward plan GJ gave a brief overview of the JSNA progress over the previous 12 months, covering the thematic JSNAs, data/support requests, training and briefings, the annual showcase, and the migration to the new website Lancashire Insight (LI). GJ asked members whether the information is useful in the current format. It was accepted the report provided context, but no suggestions were made for changing the format. 6 2016/17 work programme GJ outlined the two thematic JSNA projects, suggested by the JSNA stakeholders, which have been approved by the HWB for the 2016/17 work programme: the working-age population JSNA and the neighbourhoods intelligence project. Both link into the six shifts JSNA, living well and other areas. The leadership group discussed the content of the two thematic JSNAs and made suggestions for content, which were duly noted. GJ asked for project sponsors for the thematic JSNAs. MK agreed to be the project sponsor for the neighbourhoods intelligence project. There was a discussion around the working-age JSNA, SF suggested the sponsor should be someone to whom it would be meaningful and TP suggested tying it to a role (potentially outside the leadership group).	for the working-age JSNA, as she was attending the leadership group in a deputy capacity, but would discuss it with Sarah Swindley as she may be more suited to the role. GJ agreed to follow this up		
representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was unanimous support for Healthwatch involvement. No further comments or changes were identified or suggested. JSNA annual report and forward plan GJ gave a brief overview of the JSNA progress over the previous 12 months, covering the thematic JSNAs, data/support requests, training and briefings, the annual showcase, and the migration to the new website Lancashire Insight (LI). GJ asked members whether the information is useful in the current format. It was accepted the report provided context, but no suggestions were made for changing the format. GJ outlined the two thematic JSNA projects, suggested by the JSNA stakeholders, which have been approved by the HWB for the 2016/17 work programme: the working-age population JSNA and the neighbourhoods intelligence project. Both link into the six shifts JSNA, living well and other areas. The leadership group discussed the content of the two thematic JSNAs and made suggestions for	to be the project sponsor for the neighbourhoods intelligence project. There was a discussion around the working-age JSNA, SF suggested the sponsor should be someone to whom it would be meaningful and TP suggested tying it to a role (potentially outside		to brief MK on the role and provide project
representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was unanimous support for Healthwatch involvement. No further comments or changes were identified or suggested. 5	stake 2016 the n JSN/ the c	cholders, which have been approved by the HWB for the /17 work programme: the working-age population JSNA and eighbourhoods intelligence project. Both link into the six shifts A, living well and other areas. The leadership group discussed ontent of the two thematic JSNAs and made suggestions for	to review suggestions
representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was unanimous support for Healthwatch involvement. No further comments or changes were identified or suggested. 5 JSNA annual report and forward plan GJ gave a brief overview of the JSNA progress over the previous 12 months, covering the thematic JSNAs, data/support requests, training and briefings, the annual showcase, and the migration to the new website Lancashire Insight (LI). GJ asked members whether the information is useful in the current format. It was accepted the report provided context, but no	6	2016/17 work programme	Action
representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was unanimous support for Healthwatch involvement. No further comments or changes were identified or suggested. JSNA annual report and forward plan Action GJ gave a brief overview of the JSNA progress over the previous 12 months, covering the thematic JSNAs, data/support requests, training and briefings, the annual showcase, and the migration to	forma	at. It was accepted the report provided context, but no	
representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was unanimous support for Healthwatch involvement. No further comments or changes were identified or suggested.	months, covering the thematic JSNAs, data/support requests, training and briefings, the annual showcase, and the migration to		No actions
representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was unanimous support for Healthwatch involvement.	5	JSNA annual report and forward plan	Action
representative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was	No further comments or changes were identified or suggested.		
	repre	sentative from the education sector in Lancashire. There was	

SK as and a the JS	uggested the Lancashire change programme board should link he JSNA. The bringing together of the three HWBs across ashire, and a combined authority/function could support this. It noted that it is too early to comment on how these changes or may not play out in respect of the JSNA. Sked other group members to raise awareness of the JSNA also highlight any other intelligence groups that could feed into SNA. MK suggested the leadership group could be involved in groups and shape their work to utilise the JSNA.	All: consider links that can be made and appropriate intelligence groups that could feed in to the JSNA. SK to raise the issue at the CCB meeting on 9 August 2016
		2016
8	Any other business	Action
GJ advised the leadership group that the JSNA team has been asked to support an eye health needs assessment across Lancashire-12 and Blackburn with Darwen. It was agreed this was OK as the work was being supported but not led by the JSNA team and a multi-agency agreement was not required. SK reiterated the role of the leadership group in raising awareness of Lancashire Insight and the JSNA in the decision making process and other input they may have into different groups. No other business was raised. SK thanked people for their attendance and the meeting closed at 4pm		
of Lar and o	ncashire Insight and the JSNA in the decision making process other input they may have into different groups. Ther business was raised.	All: leadership group to raise awareness of JSNA/LI.
of Lai and o	ncashire Insight and the JSNA in the decision making process other input they may have into different groups. Ther business was raised.	leadership group to raise awareness