

Online Representations

Submission Core Strategy

Name

Job Title (if relevant)

Your Organisation (if relevant)

Your Address

Postcode

Telephone Number

Email Address*

Agent's Name (if applicable)

Agent's Job Title (if applicable)

Agent's Organisation (if applicable)

Agent's Address (if applicable)

Agent's Postcode (if applicable)

Agent's Telephone Number (if applicable)

Agent's Email Address (if applicable)

Do you consider that the part of the Core Strategy to which your representation relates is: *

Unsound

If you consider the Core Strategy is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to (having regard to test numbers 1-9 listed below).

Nothing selected

1 - It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).

2a - It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community involvement (SCI).

2b - Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.

3 - The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.

4a - It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.

4b - It is inconsistent with national planning policy.

4c - It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy (or spatial development strategy in London).

5 - It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.

6 - The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.

7 - The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.

8 - There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

9 - The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

Have you raised the subject of your representation with the Council at earlier stages in the preparation of the Core Strategy (before it was submitted for examination)?

No

If this is the first time you have raised this issue, please briefly explain below why you did not do so earlier:

Since the initial consultation, a planning application has been submitted for progressive restoration of Bradleys Sand Pit to a variety of leisure activities. It seems inappropriate to landscape this area if alternative sites are needed in 6.3.6

To which part of the Core Strategy does your representation relate?

Paragraph No.

Page 25

Policy No.

6.3.6

Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified above. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy sound. Please be as precise as possible.

6.3.1 states we should be reducing our reliance on new mineral extraction yet 6.3.6 states provision will be made for the release of additional land for 4.1 million tonnes of high quality sand. Although Areas of search for future extraction are shown on the attached plan, 6.3.6 does not state that the additional land should be within these areas - nor are the boundaries of the area, specific within a locality. We request confirmation that any additional land will be within the search areas and that a separate sustainability study is carried out before additional land is included in the framework.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Written Representation

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.